

White Oak India Pioneers Equity Portfolio



Portfolio Performance ¹⁻⁸	3 Month	6 Month	1 Year	2 Year CAGR	Inception CAGR
WO India Pioneers Equity	-3.3%	-1.7%	21.9%	46.3%	23.4%
S&P BSE 500 TR	-0.3%	-0.6%	22.3%	47.8%	17.3%
Outperformance (bps)	-305	-114	-32	-149	+610

Other Indices

S&P BSE 100 LargeCap	0.6%	-0.4%	20.8%	44.4%	16.3%
S&P BSE 150 MidCap	-2.9%	-2.0%	25.0%	58.9%	22.2%
S&P BSE 250 SmallCap	-3.9%	0.1%	34.3%	70.9%	20.3%


Market Cap Attribution Since Inception (%)¹¹

	Portfolio		Benchmark		Attribution		
	Avg Wt	Total Return	Avg Wt	Total Return	Selection Effect	Allocation Effect	Total Return
Large Cap	60.5	78.7	80.2	63.9	7.4	-1.0	6.4
Mid Cap	24.4	179.4	13.5	57.9	24.3	0.5	24.8
Small Cap	12.5	108.0	6.3	34.8	10.2	-3.5	6.6
Cash/Fut/Other	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	-5.1
Total	100.0	93.8	100.0	61.0	41.8	-9.1	32.7

Sector-wise Attribution Since Inception (%)¹²

	Portfolio		Benchmark		Attribution		
	Avg Wt	Total Return	Avg Wt	Total Return	Selection Effect	Allocation Effect	Total Return
Comm Services	2.3	94.9	2.7	57.1	0.1	-0.8	-0.7
Cons Disc.	12.2	68.4	8.5	45.6	3.5	-0.6	2.9
Cons Staples	8.3	29.2	9.0	26.1	0.8	-0.4	0.4
Energy	0.0	0.0	9.7	75.7	0.0	-1.8	-1.8
Financials	32.3	58.7	31.9	26.0	12.1	-0.1	12.0
Health Care	11.8	147.3	5.5	79.7	7.5	8.1	15.6
Industrials	5.3	97.6	6.8	62.0	1.0	-0.2	0.8
Information Tech	17.1	207.5	12.5	140.7	10.3	3.2	13.5
Materials	7.2	130.8	9.5	110.0	-1.7	0.0	-1.7
Real Estate	0.8	70.7	0.7	76.7	0.1	-0.4	-0.3
Utilities	0.0	0.0	3.2	142.0	0.0	-3.0	-3.0
Cash/Fut/Other	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	-5.1
Total	100.0	93.8	100.0	61.0	33.7	-1.0	32.7

Sector Exposure⁹



Market Review

In March, S&P BSE 500 TR index was up 4.2%. S&P BSE 100 LargeCap was up 4.1%, S&P BSE 150 MidCap up 4.4%, and S&P BSE 250 SmallCap up 6.1%.

FPIs were net sellers to the tune of US\$14.7bn in Jan-Mar 2022 while net buying by local mutual funds was US\$9.0bn. For the quarter, the Rupee depreciated by 2.0% while the benchmark 10-year G-Sec yields rose from 6.45% to 6.84%. Commodities rallied, with Brent up 39% and the S&P GSCI Industrial Metals Index up by 18%.

Among sectors, utilities and energy outperformed, whereas consumer staples, health care, consumer discretionary and IT Services underperformed during the quarter. Government owned companies outperformed the market.

These materials are provided solely for information purposes of the recipient, and it should not be construed as an investment advice and form a primary basis for any person's or investor's investment decisions. See the important disclaimer at the end of this Factsheet.

Investment Objective

The objective of the strategy is to achieve long term capital appreciation by primarily investing in 'listed securities' in India.


Fund Facts

Structure:	Discretionary PMS
Firmwide AUM: ^{3,5}	₹ 43,549 Cr
WO India Pioneers Equity AUM: ³	₹ 7,255 Cr
Minimum Investment:	INR 50 Lakhs
Benchmark:	S&P BSE 500 TR

Portfolio Characteristics¹⁰

Wt. Av. Market Cap (INR Cr)	244,083
No. of Holdings	52
Standard Deviation (SI):	Portfolio : 21.2% / S&P BSE 500 : 21.4%
Sharpe Ratio (SI):	Portfolio : 0.86 / S&P BSE 500 : 0.45
Beta (SI):	0.91
ROE (FY 22):	20.7%
PE (FY 23):	24.1
Projected Revenues (3 Yr CAGR):	15.3%
Projected Earnings (3 Yr CAGR):	17.8%

Market Cap Classification⁹



Classification as per Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) guidelines for Mutual Funds. Additional information on the classification methodology is available upon request.

Performance Review

The portfolio was up 3.2% in March, underperforming the benchmark by -106 bps. The key contributors include Persistent Systems (+21.0%), Intellect Design (+44.7%), and Infosys (+11.1%) whereas Indigo Paints (-10.3%), Nestle (-1.5%), and Asian Paints (-3.0%) were the key detractors.

For Q1 2022, the portfolio is down 3.3%, underperforming the benchmark by -305 bps. The key contributors include Cholamandalam Investment (+38.3%), Intellect Design (+27.4%), and Cipla (+7.8%) whereas Coforge (-24.1%), Indigo Paints (-24.6%), and Nestle (-11.8%) were the key detractors.

White Oak India Pioneers Equity Portfolio



Top 20 Holdings & Key Contributors / Detractors

Scrip Name	Weight (%)	Scrip Name	Weight (%)
Infosys	8.9	Mphasis	2.6
ICICI Bank	8.0	Cipla	2.6
HDFC Bank	6.3	Astral	2.5
Nestle India	4.4	Maruti Suzuki India	2.3
Asian Paints	4.4	Bajaj Finance	2.0
Cholamandalam Inv	4.2	Dixon Technologies	1.9
Titan Company	4.0	Navin Fluorine	1.8
Axis Bank	3.4	Intellect Design Arena	1.7
Persistent Systems	3.2	Coforge	1.7
Bajaj Finserv	2.6	Hindalco Industries	1.7
Total		70.2	

Quarterly macro update

At the end of 2021, if Santa had gifted any investor with the divine insight that during the first quarter Russia would invade Ukraine creating geopolitical tensions of the scale not seen since World War II, in turn leading to sanctions on Russia that would spike inflation across commodities with oil surging over US\$100/bbl, that the Fed would be hiking rates and adopt a more hawkish stance in view of rising inflation, that there would be a record quarterly FPI outflow of almost US\$15bn from Indian equities, and so on, the investor would have been reasonable in assuming that global equities would fare much worse than they did and that India would have underperformed both developed as well as emerging markets, by a significant margin.

Obviously, it is not the first time that actual market returns during and following major macro developments prove widely off the mark compared to what could have “logically” been expected even with perfect prior knowledge of those macro events. The best historical example of this phenomenon is the market behaviour through the Covid crisis, as illustrated in our 2020 annual newsletter titled Macro Shacro (click [here](#) to read). As explained in the newsletter we view macro as a source of risk, from which we try to shield the portfolio’s relative performance rather than seek any opportunity to generate alpha.

In general, it is our desire to have a balanced portfolio towards factor risks, though we do tolerate a number of structural factor imbalances to varying extent, where we believe it serves to optimise the use of capital for stock-specific alpha generation. During periods of heightened uncertainty pertaining to these factor risks, we do seek to limit the imbalance towards such factor risks from further amplifying. In the current instance, we have recently added a couple of metal companies to the portfolio for the first time since inception, acknowledging the dramatic increase in uncertainty with respect to the underlying commodity prices as a function of the evolving geopolitical situation and supply shocks.

Having reiterated the above perspectives on market implications and portfolio construction, we briefly discuss the economic impact on India of the current macro situation, as we have received several questions on the same. It goes without saying that the ongoing geopolitical situation in Europe is a major source of uncertainty for economies around the world, including that of India. From here on, depending on the path that the crisis takes, there could be wide-ranging repercussions of the first, second, or higher order that might be outside the scope of this letter to discuss.

At the direct level, India’s exposure to Russia and Ukraine is limited to only 1-2% of both total exports and imports. There are some product concentration risks – for e.g., imports from the region account for 10% of India’s fertilizer consumption and 70% of sunflower oil imports.

Q1 2022 Key Contributors	Ending Weight (%)	Total Return (%)	Contribution to Alpha (bps)
Cholamandalam Inv	4.2	+38.3	+105
Intellect Design Arena	1.7	+27.4	+33
Cipla	2.6	+7.8	+18
Suven Pharmaceuticals	0.8	+26.1	+15
Axis Bank	3.4	+12.2	+9


Q1 2022 Key Detractors	Ending Weight (%)	Total Return (%)	Contribution to Alpha (bps)
Coforge	1.7	-24.1	-73
Indigo Paints	1.6	-24.6	-52
Nestle India	4.4	-11.8	-50
Dixon Technologies	1.9	-21.8	-45
Dr. Lal PathLabs	0.8	-31.7	-32

For our portfolio companies, exposure to Russia and Ukraine in terms of direct sales is negligible, amounting to less than 0.5% on an aggregate basis.

An important second-order impact for India comes from higher commodity prices, especially crude oil prices. Given that the country imports 85% of its oil requirements, we have seen thumb-rule estimates which suggest that every US\$10/bbl rise in crude oil prices causes a 35-50bps hit to India’s GDP, current account deficit, and inflation. There are various assumptions that go into these calculations, which make it difficult to ascertain the precision of such estimates, but they might be reasonable as ballpark estimates.

Contrary to media or investor fancy for particular round figure price points, it is logical to expect that the impact of higher oil prices on macroeconomic variables plays out in a continuum, and no specific price point can be considered as a particularly bad threshold. Furthermore, the vulnerability of these macro variables at a given oil price level has reduced materially over the years. If one were to take a specific level of CAD to GDP (say 2%) as a benchmark, then the price of oil at which such CAD level is estimated to be breached has been rising over time. At the turn of the century, this oil price threshold was considered to be US\$40/bbl, which is now estimated to be in the range of US\$90 - \$100/bbl, even though perception might take longer to catch up.

India’s vulnerability to oil prices has reduced



Source: Bloomberg, White Oak

For portfolio companies and corporate sector in general, there might be some slow down in volume growth or margin pressure in the near term, but over time such commodity price fluctuations get passed through the food chain and absorbed by consumers, with hardly any lasting effect on business economics or value. As observed from prior cycles of high commodity prices, given the level of under penetration, discretionary demand tends to get deferred rather than destroyed.

White Oak India Pioneers Equity Portfolio



In our experience market leaders in certain consumer segments are better positioned relative to competition to navigate through supply side challenges, and often tend to gain market share during such times. This is particularly true if the industry structure is fragmented with a large unorganised sector, as is the case with several of our portfolio companies.

Potential Acceleration of the 'China + 1' phenomenon

One of the positive repercussions of the geopolitical tension from India's perspective is that it serves to underscore the importance of supply chain diversification in manufacturing. It is a phenomenon that has already been underway for the last many years, which gained momentum in response to Covid led supply chain disruptions. Heightened board room focus on supply chain flexibility at Fortune 500 companies bodes well for market share gain by the Indian manufacturing sector. Our interactions with corporates in both listed and unlisted space suggest that enquiry levels and outlook on order books is strong, despite the lingering supply chain issues.

The uptrend in demand outlook with capacity building is seen in several segments in response to the government's thrust on developing manufacturing hubs across sectors under the Production Linked Incentive (PLI) scheme.

Of the thirteen identified sectors under PLI thus far, production has already begun in four (mobile phones, electronic components, chemicals and APIs, and telecom equipment). In the mobile phone segment, average quarterly revenues have already exceeded targets set by the government.

In addition, the recently announced PLI for semiconductors and display panels should prove an added boost to value addition.

Russian discount

For years, investors have debated whether the Russian equities deserve to trade at the low to mid single-digit PE multiples that they have traded at till recently.

The collapse of the Russian market following the sanctions serves as a grim reminder of why equities in authoritarian regimes might deserve to trade at low multiples, whereas those in well-established democracies might deservedly trade at a premium (click [here](#) to see our 2021 newsletter, The India Premium).

In a functioning democracy, generally there would exist adequate separation of powers such that any decision regarding military aggression against another country must follow a well-defined protocol, with approvals required through several layers of checks and balances. In contrast, in authoritarian regimes, such decisions de-facto reside in the hands of one individual. This is possibly the key reason why there are very few instances of democracies going to war with each other. Usually, wars have entailed at least one of the two sides being ruled by an authoritarian regime.

Authoritarian regimes expose corporates and investors to a much higher risk of a variety of abrupt and arbitrary policy actions, including, in the current situation, aggression against a neighbour ■

Notes:

(1) Performance is calculated basis time weighted rate of return method net of all fees and expenses; Individual client performance may differ. Past performance is not indicative of future results. Performance shown since April 9, 2019, as client monies were managed from this date. Performance related information provided herein is not verified by SEBI. Returns have been calculated using Time Weighted Rate of Return (TWRR) method as prescribed by SEBI (2) S&P BSE 500 Total Returns Index. (3) All data is as of 31 March 2022. (4) All returns and % changes are in INR terms unless otherwise stated. (5) Refers to aggregate assets under management or advisory for White Oak Capital Management Consultants LLP. (6) Source: Bloomberg, Factset. Further details are available upon request (7) Inception Date : April 9, 2019 (8) Returns for 1 year and less than 1 year are absolute returns, while more than 1 year are CAGR. (9) The number inside the bars denote the number of companies in each classification. (10) SI : Since Inception (11) FactSet's Attribution Analysis. Performance is gross of fees, taxes and expenses. Market Cap Classification as per Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) guidelines for Mutual Funds. Performance related information provided herein is not verified by SEBI. (12) Factset's Attribution Analysis: GICS Classification. (13) Source: Bloomberg, further details are available upon request. Performance is gross of fees, taxes and expenses. Performance related information provided herein is not verified by SEBI.

Terms & Conditions with respect to this Factsheet:

The purpose of this Factsheet is to provide some key information of an Investment Approach offered by White Oak Capital Management Consultants LLP (White Oak Capital Management). The Factsheet is purely for information purposes and should not be construed to be investment recommendation/advice or an offer or solicitation of an offer to buy/sell any securities or invest in this Investment Approach. This Factsheet is for the personal information of the authorized recipient(s) and is not for public distribution and should not be reproduced or redistributed to any other person or in any form without prior permission of White Oak Capital Management. While reasonable endeavors have been made to present reliable data in the Factsheet, but White Oak Capital Management does not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of the data in the Factsheet. White Oak Capital Management or any of its connected persons including its subsidiaries or associates or partners or employees shall not be in any way responsible for any loss or damage that may arise to any person from any inadvertent error in the information contained, views and opinions expressed in this Factsheet. Past performance should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of future performance, and no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made regarding future performance. Information, opinions and estimates contained in this Factsheet reflect a judgment of its original date of publication by White Oak Capital Management and are subject to change without notice. This Factsheet is not directed or intended for distribution to, or use by, any person or entity who is a citizen or resident of or located in any locality, state, country or other jurisdiction, where such distribution, publication, availability or use would be contrary to local law, regulation or which would subject White Oak Capital Management and its affiliates to any registration or licensing requirement within such jurisdiction. The product described herein may or may not be eligible for sale in all jurisdictions or to certain category of investors. Persons in whose possession this Report/Factsheet may come are required to inform themselves of and to observe such restrictions.

This Factsheet is qualified in its entirety by the Disclosure Document/ Portfolio Management Agreement and other related documents, copies of which will be provided to prospective investors. All investors must read the detailed Disclosure Document/Portfolio Management Agreement including the Risk Factors and consult their tax/legal advisors, before making any investment decision/contribution to be managed under the Portfolio Management Services offered by White Oak Capital Management. Capitalized terms used herein shall have the meaning assigned to such terms in the PPM and other documents.

Portfolio Manager: White Oak Capital Management Consultants LLP

Contact Details – Registered and Corporate Office - Unit 6 B2/B3, 6th Floor, Cnergy Building, Appasaheb Marathe Marg, Prabhadevi, Mumbai - 400 025. Tel: (91-22) 62308100

Investor Queries - Email: contact@whiteoakindia.com

Registration Details – INP000005865

Direct Investment: For availing Portfolio Management Services you can reach us directly without any third-party intermediation by emailing us at contact@whiteoakindia.com or by calling us on +91-22- 62308100.

Performance related information provided herein is not verified by SEBI.